

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/697,528

Applicant(s)

SULLIVAN ET AL

Examiner

Charles A. Fox

Art Unit

3652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles A. Fox.

(3) _____

(2) William J. Knotts, Jr.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 09 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 11 and 21-47.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner offered to allow the application if claim 11 and two were incorporated into claim 1 and claims 21-47 were cancelled. The applicants representative declined. The examiner suggested that applicant consider an appeal in the application due to the rather lengthy prosecution history and disagreement between the applicant and the examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. ~~See Summary of Record of Interview~~ requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Charles A. Fox/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652